Coll Young and two more from the upper house Deliver L. H. J. M^r Speaker the following Message Viz.

By the Upper House of Assembly April the 21st 1720.

Gentlemen.

His Excy haveing Communicated to us their Lordships Speech lately received, it is herewith Communicated to you.

Signed p Order John Beale Cl up: ho:

organed profession John Beate Crup. no.

Gentlemen of the Upper and Lower houses of Assembly.

Baltemore] Guilford. On Due Consideration of the Act of Assembly intituled an Act for Ascertaining the bounds of Lands within this Province We find it Absolutely Necessary to recommend p. 73 to you to Explain or reenact the said Law that whilst you are endeavouring to Secure and quiet men in their Possessions you do not so bind them down to the Determination of Commissioners to be appointed by Virtue of the Act as to make their Sentence finall without appeal (except Conditionally to his Majesty in Councill as by the said Act is Provided to whose sacred person every Man ought to be at Liberty to address themselves without our intermeddleing therewith, by Acts of Assembly) whereby in a manner you even shut up the Courts of Justice, which can never Correspond with the Liberty of a free people, or be Deemed Consonant to the Laws of Great Britain, the Standard by which we are all obliged to Govern our Councills, among the Severall Laws made at a Session of Assembly in May Last, we have thought fitt to Dissent to the Act Entituled An Act for relief of John Beale of Charles County Gent and Richard Beale a minor and do hereby Declare the same null void and of no effect, forasmuch as the Legislature of Great Britain never Interferes to Dispose of property without Consent of all parties leaving every mans right to be ruled by the knowne Laws of the Land.

And as we can never more certainly Demonstrate the reall Confidence we have in the good people of Maryland than by freely communicateing our Sentiments on the proceedings of you their Representatives, we now Signify that altho we have not yet Dissented to the late Act made for Limitation of Officers fees, yet we cannot in our Judgments approve thereof, well knowing that 'tis neither for our honour or the peoples Interest in the Dispatch of their business, that Publick Ministers should be deprived of those allowances which by the